

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER, FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/544,150 | 04/06/2000 | Louis J Pinga | P006 P00252-US | 9167 |
| 3017 | 7590 08/28/2 | 1 | | |
| | JOSEPHS & HOL | EXAMINER | | |
| 101 DYER S 5TH FLOOF | } | SHIH, SALLY | | |
| PROVIDENCE, RI 02903 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3624 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/28/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application N . | Applicant(s) | 1 | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | | 09/544,150 | PINGA ET AL. | | | | |
| | Offic Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Sally Shih | 3624 | $\sqrt{}$ | | | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 🗌 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th | is action is non-final. | | 1 | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application | 1 | | | | | |
| • | fa) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. | | | \ / | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | • | | | |
| • | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement | | • | | | |
| · | on Papers | r closion requirement. | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10) 🗌 1 | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | pted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa | miner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) 🔲 🏾 | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | kaminer. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | ı)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a)[| ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | 1 / | | | |
| | Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received. | | , | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received in Applicati | on No | • | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bue the attached detailed Office action for a list | ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | Stage | | | |
| 14)∐ A | cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(| e) (to a provisiona | l application). | | | |
| • | ☐ The translation of the foreign language procedures the company of the foreign language procedures the company of the compan | | | 1 | | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | | | | | | | |
| J.S. Patent and Tr | ademark Office | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is response to Applicant's amendment filed on June 17, 2003. The rejections are as stated below:

Status of Claims

2. Of the original claims 1-23 and previously added claims 24-30, claims 1 and 24 have been amended. Accordingly, claims 1-30 are under prosecution in this application.

Summary of this Office Action

3. Applicant's arguments filed on June 17, 2003 have been fully considered, and discussed in the next section below or within the following rejection are not deemed to be persuasive.

Therefore, claims 1-30 are rejected as being unpatentable over the art cited below, and Applicant's request for allowance is respectfully denied.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. The Examiner acknowledges applicant's amendment to claims 1 and 24 to include the wording "via a computer processor". However, the computer language should be specified in the pre-amble and the body of the claim. Accordingly, the rejection previously made under 35 USC, § 101 as discussed in the second paragraph of page 2 of paper number 4, is maintained.

The following preamble is suggested:

"A <u>computer implemented</u> method for casino betting, rating and investment program", or something similar.

Application/Control Number: 09/544,150

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 6. Claims 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Feidelson et al.

 United States Patent Number 6,345,261 B1 as discussed from the third paragraph of page 2 of paper number 4.
- 7. The applicant's sole argument is that the application is entitled to claim priority based on Provision Application No. 60/128, 597. The Examiner has reviewed the cited Provision Application and has determined that the application is not entitled to the priority date because the inventions are separate and independent. The cited Provision Application discloses a process in which gamblers can earmark as "pension bets" and these bets can be placed in a casino pension account. On the other hand, claims 24-30 disclose a method for implementing an expenditure tracking, reward and investment program. The only commonality between the cited Provision Application and the claims is the use of an account card which can hardly be used to qualify

1

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/544,150

Art Unit: 3624

Page 4

these two applications as related application. Accordingly, the rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) for claims 24-30 is maintained and made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Feidelson et al. United States Patent Number 6,345,261 B1 as discussed from the last paragraph of page 5 of paper number 4.
- The applicant's sole argument is that the application is entitled to claim priority based on Provision Application No. 60/128, 597. Please see response made previously for rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) incorporated herein. Accordingly, the rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) for claims 1-23 is maintained and made final.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

,

Application/Control Number: 09/544,150 Page 5

Art Unit: 3624

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sally Shih whose telephone number is 703-305-8550. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

sys

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER